UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT DISTRICT OF MINNESOTA COURT FILE NO.:

| muy Lcc. | Mary | Lee, |
|----------|------|------|
|----------|------|------|

Plaintiff,

v.

Monarch Recovery Management, Inc.,

Defendant.

COMPLAINT JURY TRIAL DEMANDED

JURISDICTION

- 1. Jurisdiction of this Court arises under 28 U.S.C. § 1331 and pursuant to 15 U.S.C. § 1692k(d).
- 2. This action arises out of Defendant's violations of the Fair Debt Collection Practices Act, 15 U.S.C. § 1692 et seq. ("FDCPA").

PARTIES

- 3. Plaintiff Mary Lee is a natural person who resides in the City of Mahtomedi, County of Washington, State of Minnesota, and is a "consumer" as that term is defined by 15 U.S.C. § 1692a(3).
- 4. Defendant Monarch Recovery Management, Inc. (hereinafter "Defendant") is a foreign company engaged in the business of collecting debts, operating from an address of 10965 Decatur Road, Philadelphia, Pennsylvania 19154. Defendant is a "debt collector" as that term is defined by 15 U.S.C. § 1692a(6).

FACTUAL ALLEGATIONS

- 5. Prior to March 2010, Plaintiff allegedly incurred a "consumer debt," as that term is defined by 15 U.S.C. §1692a(5), with an unknown creditor.
- 6. Upon information and belief, in or about March 2010, the alleged debt was transferred, sold, or assigned to Defendant for collection.
- 7. On or about March 25, 2010, Defendant called Plaintiff's cell phone and left the following voice message:

"This message is for Mary Lee. Mary this is Mrs. Cook. Give me a call when you receive my message. You can reach me at 8662270605. My extension is 2671. Again it's 8662270605. My direct extension is 2671. Give me a call when you receive my message."

- 8. Defendant's message dated on or about March 25, 2010 failed to disclose that Defendant was a debt collector and the purpose of the communication was an attempt to collect a debt in violation of 15 U.S.C. §§ 1692d(6) and 1692e(11).
- 9. Defendant's message dated on or about March 25, 2010 also failed to disclose Defendant's identity and the purpose of the communication was an attempt to collect a debt in violation of 15 U.S.C. §§ 1692d(6).
- Defendant failed to provide Plaintiff with her validation rights, in violation of 15U.S.C. § 1692g.
- 11. As a result of the above violations of the FDCPA, Defendant is liable to Plaintiff for her actual damages, statutory damages, and costs and attorney's fees, pursuant to 15 U.S.C. § 1692k.

TRIAL BY JURY

12. Plaintiff is entitled to and hereby demands a trial by jury. US Const. amend. 7. Fed. R. Civ. Pro. 38.

CAUSES OF ACTION

COUNT I.

VIOLATIONS OF THE FAIR DEBT COLLECTION PRACTICES ACT

15 U.S.C. §1692 et seq

- 13. Plaintiff incorporates by reference all of the above paragraphs of this Complaint as though fully stated herein.
- 14. The foregoing acts and omissions of Defendant constitute violations of the FDCPA, including but not limited to each and every one of the above-cited provisions of the FDCPA, 15 U.S.C. § 1692 et seq.
- 15. As a result of Defendant's violations of the FDCPA, Plaintiff has suffered damages and is entitled to actual damages pursuant to 15 U.S.C. § 1692k(a)(1); statutory damages in the amount of \$1,000.00 pursuant to 15 U.S.C. § 1692k(a)(2)(A); and, reasonable attorney's fees and costs pursuant to 15 U.S.C. § 1692k(a)(3) from Defendant.

PRAYER FOR RELIEF

WHEREFORE, Plaintiff prays:

- That judgment be entered against Defendant for actual damages, pursuant to 15 U.S.C. § 1692k(a)(1);
- That judgment be entered against Defendant for statutory damages pursuant to 15 U.S.C. § 1692k(a)(2)(A) & (B);

- That the Court award costs and reasonable attorney's fees, pursuant to 15 U.S.C. § 1692k(a)(3); and
- That the Court grant such other and further relief as may be just and proper.

Dated this 19th day of July, 2010.

Respectfully submitted,

By: /s Thomas J. Lyons
Thomas J. Lyons, Esq.
Attorney I.D. #: 65699
Trista M. Roy, Esq.
Attorney I.D. #0387737
367 Commerce Court
Vadnais Heights, MN 55127
Telephone: (651) 770-9707
Facsimile:(651) 704-0907
tlyons@lyonslawfirm.com
tristacjc@aol.com

ATTORNEYS FOR PLAINTIFF

VERIFICATION OF COMPLAINT AND CERTIFICATION BY PLAINTIFF

| STATE OF MINNESOTA)) ss |
|---|
| COUNTY OF <u>RAMSEY</u>) |
| I, Mary Lee, having first been duly sworn and upon oath, deposes and says as follows: |
| I am the Plaintiff in this civil proceeding. I have read the above-entitled civil Complaint prepared by my attorney and I believe that all of the facts contained in it are true, to the best of my knowledge, information and belief formed after reasonable inquiry. |
| I believe that this civil Complaint is well grounded in fact and warranted by existing law or by a good faith argument for the extension, modification, or reversal of existing law. I believe that this civil Complaint is not interposed for any improper purpose, such as to harass any Defendant(s), cause unnecessary delay to any Defendant(s), or create a needless increase in the cost of litigation to any Defendant(s), named in the Complaint. |
| 5. I have filed this civil Complaint in good faith and solely for the purposes set forth in it. |
| s/Mary Lee Mary Lee |
| Subscribed and sworn to before me this 1st day of July, 2010. |

s/Andrea L. Weber____

Notary Public